

	<h2>Chipping Barnet Area Committee</h2> <h3>12 February 2015</h3>
<p style="text-align: center;">Title</p>	<p>Review of London Cycle Campaign proposed schemes for Chipping Barnet</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Report of</p>	<p>Interim Commissioning Director for Environment</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Wards</p>	<p>Brunswick Park, Coppetts, East Barnet, High Barnet, Oakleigh, Totteridge, Underhill</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Status</p>	<p>Public</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Enclosures</p>	<p>Appendix A – Chipping Barnet Area LCC proposals Review</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Officer Contact Details</p>	<p>Jane Shipman, Traffic and Development Telephone 0208359 3555.</p>

Summary

This report presents a review of a series of proposals made by the London Cycle Campaign (LCC) to provide 'Space for Cycling' in wards in the Chipping Barnet area.

Recommendations

- 1. That the Committee note the contents of the report.**
- 2. That Committee provide officers with their views and comments in relation to the proposals in the Chipping Barnet Area.**

1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

- 1.1 During the 2014 Local election campaign the London Cycle Campaign (LCC) identified a local cycling improvement aimed at creating 'Space for Cycling' in every electoral ward in London, and invited candidates to support these.

- 1.2 At the meeting of the 18 June 2014, in response to a member's item, the Committee resolved that the Director for Growth and Environment instruct officers to undertake an initial feasibility study, including cost, looking at the LCC proposals in Chipping Barnet Area.
- 1.3 It was noted that a report will be brought back to the Area Sub-Committee for the Committee to determine which schemes should be consulted on and that officers would feed back to the London Cycle Campaign the views and comments raised by the Chipping Barnet Area Sub-Committee.
- 1.4 Similar resolutions were made by the other area committees in relation LCC to proposals in their areas.
- 1.5 The review of London Cycle Campaign proposed schemes for Chipping Barnet Area is set out in appendix A but is summarised below:

Ward and LCC proposal	Officer Comments on LCC proposals and associated costs
Brunswick Park Ward Protected cycle lanes on Russell Lane and Bestile Circus	Betstyle Circus This location is outside the borough and has not been considered in the report Russell Lane A lane on the uphill side of the road could be provided at an approximate cost of £47,000 if high cost utility diversion are not required. There would be some impact on parking provision however for much of the road affected residents have off-street parking.
Coppetts Ward Safe routes for cycling to the Colney Hatch/Woodhouse Road shopping area	This proposal would involve a major junction redesign which could also address other issues at the junction. The cost of a study to identify options for a redesigned junction, including surveys, traffic modelling and identifying outline costs for the options is estimated at £25,000.
East Barnet Ward A cycling route along the Pymmes Brook Trail	This is understood to refer to the bridleway that currently runs from Games Road near the boundary with the London borough of Barnet westwards through Hadley Common towards High Barnet. A budget cost of providing an improved track through the current unsurfaced section is about £120,000.
High Barnet Ward Protected cycle lanes along the A1000 Great North Road	See separate A1000 review
Oakleigh Ward Protected cycle lanes along the A1000 & Longmore Avenue under the railway	A1000 See separate A1000 review Longmore Avenue Cycle facilities could be provided through the bridge as a separate cycle path on the existing footways. This would cost in the region of (£85,000 including some contingency items).

<p>Totteridge Ward Protected cycle lanes along the A1000 High Road. (And closure of St. Margaret's Ave to motor vehicles)</p>	<p>A1000 See separate A1000 review St. Margaret's Ave Closure by means of bollards with provision of an emergency gate near Totteridge Lane may be feasible. However potential impacts on the adjacent Whetstone traffic signals would need to be considered. Construction costs would be modest but assessment of the impacts and detailed design mean the overall cost is likely to be in the region of £25,000.</p>
<p>Underhill Protected cycle lanes along A1000 Barnet Hill</p>	<p>A1000 See separate A1000 review</p>
<p>A1000 The LCC proposals include a series of requests for a superhighway route along the A1000. These note that they are part of a concerted vision for a Cycle Superhighway route along the entire A1000 (the historic A1 / Great North Road) from High Barnet to East Finchley, connecting with TfL's Cycle Superhighway 12 (along the A1) into the City of London</p>	<p>TfL's proposals for Cycle Superhighway 12 from Central London to East Finchley or Muswell Hill are not now expected to proceed in the form originally envisaged. Nevertheless the A1000 is a route well used by existing cyclists and may be a natural route choice for new cyclists as they become more confident.</p> <p>A variety of features to provide a direct continuous route for cyclists might form part of a cycle superhighway but provision of decent width on-carriageway cycle lanes that are not obstructed by parking (ideally available 24 hours a day) with provision for cyclists junctions. Advanced stop line (ASL) reservoirs for cyclists would be expected at all traffic signal junction with provision for cyclists to reach these and particular consideration given to negotiating difficult areas. Coloured surfacing is not required on cycle lanes and the use of this has generally been avoided in Barnet. However in difficult locations it may help to highlight the presence of a route. For much of the route provision of cycle lanes would have an impact on the amount of parking provision that could be retained, with varying impacts on residents and others. Some indicative costings have been included in the main review but essentially costs to introduce lanes away from junctions would be relatively modest, but of limited benefit without addressing the associated challenging junctions. Costs for addressing some of these could be in excess of £1M but a more detailed initial assessment of the options at each location would be needed as a first step. Making provision through Barnet High Street might be better considered as part of a wider scheme to provide a 20mph environment through the High Street, although this would again be a high cost option.</p>

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 The recommendations provide feedback in response to the Committee's earlier decision. The review has attempted to provide information on the feasibility of making the suggested provision noting constraints and potential issues and locations where more detailed investigations would be needed to fully consider the feasibility of the proposals.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

- 3.1 An initial brief was developed to undertake a fuller study across all the proposals but this was found to be unaffordable.

4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

- 4.1 Proposals that the area committee would like to see taken further, in terms of implementation, further studies or consultation will be included in reports to be prepared for consideration by the Environment Committee to agree future years work programmes and a future Cycling Strategy. The views of the area committee will be fed back to the London Cycle Campaign.

5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

- 5.1.1 Provision of cycle facilities would particularly help delivery the Corporate Plan strategic objective of promoting responsible growth, development and success across the borough, and the priority outcome of maintaining a well designed, attractive and accessible place, with sustainable infrastructure across the borough.

- 5.1.2 Making it easier for more people to cycle also helps address health objectives by providing more opportunities for physical activity.

5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

- 5.2.1 There are no direct resources implications from this report. Local Implementation Plan (LIP) funding provided by Transport for London provides for work to develop and implement cycle routes and facilities. Proposals to be delivered with this funding will be agreed by the Environment Committee as part of the 2015/16 (or future years') programmes of work in due course.

5.3 Legal and Constitutional References

- 5.3.1 There are no specific legal implications in relation to this report.

- 5.3.2 The Constitution section 15 Responsibility for Functions (Annex A - Membership and Terms of Reference of committees and partnership boards) provides that Area Committees' functions include "in relation to the area covered by the Committee. Discharge any functions, within the budget and policy framework agreed by Policy and Resources, of the theme committees that they agree are more properly delegated to a more local level. These include but are not limited to: ... *Local highways and safety schemes*".

5.3.3 The same annex provides that the Environment Committee has specific responsibilities for commissioning Transport and traffic management including agreement of London Transport Strategy-Local Implementation Plan.

5.4 Risk Management

5.4.1 There are no particular risks associated with this decision. However there are potential risks associated with introducing some of the measures that any future decision to do so would need to consider.

- Some proposals would impact on parking which may result in negative customer perceptions and publicity. However failure to make provision for cyclists may also affect perceptions.
- Some proposals could have an impact on road network performance that would need to be mitigated and balanced against the benefits of making the provision.
- Poorly designed cycle facilities may increase the risks of injury to cyclists, however well designed facilities may reduce risks. Increasing cycling levels overall helps to reduce the level of injury risk to individual cyclists.

5.5 Equalities and Diversity

5.5.1 The decision is not considered to compromise the authority in fulfilment of its Equality Duty to have due regard to the need to:

- eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act 2010
- advance equality of opportunity between people from different groups
- foster good relations between people from different groups

Provision of cycling facilities may provide differential benefits to groups more likely to cycle. This includes children and young adults and men and 'White British' ethnic group. However provision may also remove some of the barriers to cycling for other groups. An impact assessment of the borough's Local Implementation Plan identified, based on satisfaction survey responses, that provision of cycle facilities may be a higher priority for minority ethnic groups in the borough than for the population as a whole. Cyclists sharing pedestrian facilities can be a concern and some older and disabled people can be at greater risk if this occurs. Providing facilities for cyclists may introduce shared facilities in controlled situations or reduce uncontrolled use of pavements by cyclists concerned about cycling on a carriageway without facilities.

5.6 Consultation and Engagement

5.6.1 Consultation requirements for any proposals that are developed further would vary depending on the scale and impact of these.

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

6.1 The meeting of the Chipping Barnet Area Committee of 18 June 2014:
RESOLVED: - That the Strategic Director for Growth and Environment instruct officer to undertake an initial feasibility study, including cost, looking at the proposals in Chipping Barnet Area.

It was noted that a report will be brought back to the Area Sub-Committee for the Committee to determine which schemes should be consulted on. It was further noted that officers would feed back to the London Cycle Campaign the views and comments raised by the Chipping Barnet Area Sub-Committee.

Minutes of the Area Committee meeting can be found via this link:

<http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=711&MId=7980&Ver=4>